



IAAF WORLD CHALLENGE

MEETING EVALUATION CRITERIA

To build and maintain world-class delivery standards across all IAAF World Challenge Meetings, IWC Meetings will be evaluated using the criteria below, completed by the appointed IAAF Representative, with additional information provided by the IAAF HQ.

Grading

One of the following grades will be given for each evaluation criteria:

- Excellent
- Acceptable (According to Guidelines)
- Unacceptable

Evaluation Criteria

1) *Participation Level*

Using the latest **Spiriev Outdoor Scoring Tables**, the evaluation is based on the conversion into points of the best 58 athletes' Season Best, **before they start in the Meeting**. These 58 Season Best shall be selected as follows:

- The Season Best of the top 4 athletes in the best 14 events (including a minimum of 3 technical events, at least one of which must be a female event)
- The Season Best of the 2 next best participating athletes in any event(s)

In addition there is a 30 points bonus for each starting:

- reigning World Outdoor Champion
- reigning Olympic Champion
- current World Record Holder

To achieve an 'Acceptable' grade, the Meeting must be within the top 30 ranked meetings in the world in terms of Participation according to the criteria above.

2) *Spectators*

Spectators attendance must be over 65% of the available stadium capacity.

3) *Television Coverage*

Compulsory live broadcast, or a 60 minutes maximum delayed broadcast, aired at least in the host country.

4) *Technical Conduct*

- The Programme of events was in accordance with the IWC Regulations
- The Competition was in accordance with the published Official Timetable
- Maximum field sizes were in accordance with the IWC Regulations

5) *Advertising*

The IAAF Advertising Guidelines were respected, including advertising on athletes clothing.

6) *Prize Structure/Payments*

- Negotiations were carried out only with Authorised Athletes Representatives, through the Athletes Member Federation or with the Athlete directly
- The Prize Structure followed the IWC Regulations
- Payments were made to the Athletes within 30 days upon receipt of the doping control results.

7) *Medical/Anti-doping*

- Minimum Medical facilities were provided according to IWC Regulations
- Minimum number of doping control tests according to IWC Regulations were conducted according to the current IAAF Anti-Doping Regulations.

8) *Logistics*

- Suitable accommodation and meals were provided for the athletes
- Suitable transportation was provided for Athletes and other Guests

Sanction Principles:

The first 'Unacceptable' grade shall be waived without penalty.

A financial penalty of US\$5000 may be imposed upon the Meeting from the second 'Unacceptable' grade upwards, to a maximum of US\$20,000, to be deducted directly from the IAAF Grant.

Should a Meeting receive four (4) or more 'Unacceptable' grades over two consecutive years, it may lose its IAAF World Challenge status for the following year and Organisers will need to re-apply for an IAAF World Challenge status.

All evaluations which are deemed to be in the 'Unacceptable' range will be communicated in writing to the relevant Meeting Organiser, together with any supporting evidence.