# The mechanics and energetics of the 100 m sprint 
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66The authors present an account of $a$ study of the main mechanical and physiological aspects of the 100 m sprint, using 4 male and 4 female athletes of the Italian national team competing in the 1994 Italian Championships. A detailed description is given of the methods used to assess the various types of energy expenditure and determine the total energy expenditure and efficiency. The tables included present a complete account of the data obtained from each of the athletes concerned.
They suggest that the results obtained from the study, which intimate that anaerobic glycolysis contributes to about $65-70 \%$ of the metabolic energy production during a 100 m race, provid new information which should help coaches to plan their training programmes.
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## 1 Introduction

This study was conducted on 8 sprinters (4 male and 4 female) of the Italian national team. All measurements were taken during the 1994 Italian Championships. Our intention was to study some specific qualities:

- Acceleration phase: measured by video recording the first 60 m during competition (see Tables 1, 2 and Figures 1, 2),
- 100 m performance and blood lactate concentration (la) $)_{b}$,
- total energy expenditure and running economy at lower velocity,
- metabolic kinetics and instantaneous velocity.
- efficiency: $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{w}=$ mechanical energy expenditure, $\mathrm{C}=$ metabolic kinetics).
To calculate the mechanical energy expenditure we utilized the Di Prampero equation (Di Prampero 1986). Metabolic energy was calculated by evolution of blood lactate concentration; the peak values were obtained at 3-5-7 minutes after the race. With this model, it is possible to predict instantaneous power and velocity and determine the critical section of the sprint.

Results obtained from the subjects in the 100 m final during the Italian Championships (Naples, 1994)

| A.O.: | 10.54 sec | G.G.: | 11.65 sec |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| L.L.: | 10.59 sec | L.A.: | 11.77 sec |
| M.M.: | 10.63 sec | R.F.: | 11.85 sec |
| A.A.: | 10.69 sec | L.G.: | 12.01 sec. |

Note: for our operations all these fully automatic times must be amended to 'real times'; -0.20s is internationally accepted.

Lactate values after the race (see also Table 3 and Figures 3 and 4):
A.O.: $16.02 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ after 3 min
G.G.: $12.83 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ after 5 min
L.L.: $\quad 14.97 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ after 7 min
L.A.: $\quad 12.97 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ after 5 min
M.M.: $14.69 \mathrm{mmol} / 1 /$ after 5 min
R.F.: $12.90 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ after 5 min
A.A.: $14.57 \mathrm{mmol} / 1 \mathrm{after} 5 \mathrm{~min}$
G.G.: $15.46 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ after 5 min .

Table 1: Times [s] at each marker beginning with the take-off of rear foot from the blocks

| 100 m final men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Athlete | 10 m | 15m | 20m | 25m | 30 m | 35 m | 40m | 45m | 50 m | 55m | 60m |
| Menchi | 1.88 | 2.51 | 3.04 | 3.55 | 4.03 | 4.50 | 4.97 | 5.44 | 5.92 | 6.39 | 6.86 |
| Orlandi | 1.86 | 2.48 | 3.00 | 3.49 | 3.96 | 4.43 | 4.88 | 5.32 | 5.77 | 6.22 | 6.68 |
| Amici | 1.86 | 2.48 | 3.00 | 3.51 | 3.99 | 4.45 | 4.92 | 5.37 | 5.82 | 6.28 | 6.74 |
| Levora | 1.84 | 2.49 | 3.00 | 3.49 | 3.96 | 4.44 | 4.91 | 5.36 | 5.82 | 6.27 | 6.72 |
| 100 m fìnal women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Athlete | 10 m | 15 m | 20m | 25m | 30 m | 35 m | 40m | 45m | 50m | 55m | 60m |
| Ardisso | 1.98 | 2.69 | 3.29 | 3.85 | 4.39 | 4.90 | 5.40 | 5.92 | 6.44 | 6.95 | 7.46 |
| Gallina | 1.92 | 2.61 | 3.20 | 3.75 | 4.28 | 4.80 | 5.31 | 5.81 | 6.30 | 6.79 | 7.31 |
| Galliga | 1.92 | 2.63 | 3.22 | 3.77 | 4.31 | 4.84 | 5.35 | 5.86 | 6.36 | 6.88 | 7.43 |
| Farina | 1.98 | 2.70 | 3.29 | 3.82 | 4.35 | 4.87 | 5.37 | 5.88 | 6.37 | 6.87 | 7.36 |

## 2 Determination of mechanical energy expenditure

The total energy expenditure ( $\mathrm{E}_{\text {tot }}$ ) of an athlete running 100 m , at a constant speed, at sea level and without wind, can be calculated from the sum of the following components:

- the energy spent against air resistance (Ca),
- the non-aerodynamic expenditure (En.a),
- the energy expended in accelerating the body (Ek)


### 2.1 Energy spent against air resistance

The energy spent, for each unit of distance, against air resistance ( Ca ), is proportional to
the athlete's surface area and to his $\mathrm{v}^{2}(\mathrm{v}=$ velocity).

The equation used from Di Prampero is:

$$
\mathrm{Ca}=\mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{v}^{2}
$$

$\mathrm{K}^{\prime}$ is a constant $\left(\mathrm{J} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}\right.$ and per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ of body surface $[=\mathrm{s}]$ ). Referring to the running events $\mathrm{K}^{\prime}=0.40$. Following the Du Bois equation (DI Pamprero pp.142-143) we can obtain a very good approximation of the body surface of our subjects.

To show the procedure used to determine $\mathrm{E}_{\text {tot }}$, we will examine the athlete A. Orlandi (A.O., cf. Table 4). His anthropometric values are 187 cm at 77 kg . According to DU Bois, this subject has a body surface of $2.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.


Figure 1: Velocity distribution 100 m final men

Table 2: Velocity values $[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ] at each marker

| 100 m final men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Athlete | 10 m | 15 m | 20 m | 25m | 30 m | 35 m | 40 m | 45m | 50 m | 55m | 60m |
| Menchi | 5.32 | 7.94 | 9.40 | 9.80 | 10.57 | 10.57 | 10.57 | 10.57 | 10.57 | 10.57 | 10.57 |
| Orlandi | 5.43 | 8.06 | 9.62 | 10.20 | 10.60 | 10.78 | 11.00 | 11.36 | 11.11 | 11.11 | 10.87 |
| Amici | 5.38 | 8.06 | 9.62 | 9.90 | 10.42 | 10.70 | 10.80 | 11.11 | 11.11 | 10.87 | 10.87 |
| Levora | 5.49 | 7.69 | 9.80 | 10.20 | 10.64 | 10.42 | 10.64 | 11.11 | 10.87 | 11.11 | 11.11 |
| 100m final women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Athlete | 10 m | 15m | 20m | 25m | 30 m | 35 m | 40m | 45m | 50 m | 55 m | 60 m |
| Ardisso | 4.95 | 7.04 | 8.40 | 8.93 | 9.26 | 9.80 | 10.00 | 9.62 | 9.62 | 9.80 | 9.80 |
| Gallina | 5.43 | 7.25 | 8.47 | 9.09 | 9.44 | 9.62 | 9.80 | 10.00 | 10.20 | 10.20 | 9.62 |
| Galliga | 5.26 | 7.04 | 8.47 | 9.09 | 9.30 | 9.43 | 9.80 | 9.80 | 10.00 | 9.62 | 9.09 |

The speed to be taken into consideration will not be 10.54 (official result), but 10.54 $-0.20=10.34$ (real time). This gives an average speed of $9.67 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. Now, utilising the above equation, we get:
$\mathrm{Ca}=(2 \cdot 0.40) \cdot 9.67^{2}=0.8 \cdot 93.51=74,8 \mathrm{~J}$.
Since speed is not uniform in a 100 m race, the values of energy expenditure per time unit against air resistance cannot be calculated on average speed but must be on instantaneous speed.

During the 1988 Olympic Games and 1991 World Championships, it has been possible to calculate (after video-analysis) the instantaneous speed of the 100 m finalists and to determine the needed energy expenditure against air resistance, moment by moment.

Table 3: Lactate concentrations after 100 m sprint ( $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ )

| 3 min | 5 min | 7 min | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 100m final women |  |  |  |
| 11.86 | 12.83 | 12.23 | G. Gallina |
| 12.32 | 12.97 | 12.54 | L. Ardissone |
| 13.60 | 15.46 | 14.98 | L. Galligani |
| 12.75 | 12.90 | 12.25 | R. Farina |
| 100m final men |  |  |  |
| 13.34 | 14.57 | 13.36 | A. Amici |
| 16.02 | 15.57 | 12.14 | A. Oriandi |
| 13.83 | 14.69 | - | M. Menchini |
| 12.79 | 12.53 | 14.97 | L. Levorato |

This value is estimated as $6 \%$ more than that calculated on the average speed $\left(\mathrm{v}^{-}\right)$; i.e. 1.06 times this value.


Figure 2: Velocity distribution 100 m final women


Figure 3: Lactate distribution after 3, 5 and $7 \mathrm{~min}, 100 \mathrm{~m}$ final men

Table 4: Data from test, Tirrenia 1994

| Athlete | Weight (kg) | Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | $\mathrm{VO}_{2}$ trend ( $\mathrm{ml} \mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{min}$ ) | FC trend (nvmin) | d EC (kJ/km-kg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A Orlandi | 77 | 14.29 | 53.50 | 187 | 4.04 |
| M Menchini | 80 | 14.61 | 52.30 | 186 | 4.10 |
| EMadonia | 75 | 14.18 | 52.24 | 183 | 4.05 |
| C Occhiena | 72 | 14.29 | 53.31 | 178 | 4.36 |
| A Amici | 70 | 14.18 | 49.91 | 187 | 4.16 |
| G Gallina | 52 | 13.58 | 53.78 | 191 | 4.32 |
| L. Galligani | 56 | 13.58 | 45.62 | 183 | 3.75 |

A further calculation gives the effective value of energy expenditure against air resistance as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ea} & =(2 \cdot 0.40) \cdot 10.25^{2} \\
& =0.80 \cdot 105.06=84.05 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{kg} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since we are interested in the total expenditure, we will multiply this value by the subject's body mass:

$$
\mathrm{Ea}=84.05 \cdot 77=6472 \mathrm{~J} .
$$

### 2.2 The non-aerodynamic expenditure: En.a

To determine this, we calculated the energy cost of the subject at submaximal speed (about $14 \mathrm{~km} /$ hour). We utilized the direct method, employing K2 apparatus (K2 COSMED, Italy; Dal Monte et al. 1989) and, as can be seen in Table 4, for our subject the EC $[\mathrm{KJ} / \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{kg}$ ] is 4.04 . Since his body mass is 77 kg and the distance is 100 m , his expenditure will be:
$4,04 \cdot 77 \cdot 100=31,108 \mathrm{~J}$.

### 2.3 Energy expenditure to accelerate the body: Ek

To calculate this value one needs to know the difference between the Kinetic energy at the point of maximum acceleration and that at the start.


Figure 4: Lactate distribution after 3, 5 and $7 \mathrm{~min}, 100 \mathrm{~m}$ final women

From video-analyses made during the race (see Tables 1, 2 and Figures 1, 2), we found that our subject reached the point of maximum acceleration at 45 m after the start, when the speed is $11.36 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.

The kinetic energy is equal to $1 / 2 \mathrm{M} \cdot(\mathrm{vmax})^{2}-1 / 2 \mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{vi}\left(\mathrm{vi}_{\mathrm{i}}=\right.$ initial speed $)$. In our case since $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}=0$, we have:
$E k=38.5 \cdot(11.36)^{2}-0=4968 \mathrm{~J}$.

### 2.4 Total mechanical energy expenditure in running 100 m

This final value is obtained from the sum of the Ea, En.a and Ek of each athlete (see Table 5).

### 2.5 Energy spent without wind assistance

According to the Di Prampero equation (1986, p.60), with no wind assistance the energy spent is derived from:
$\mathrm{Ea}=\mathrm{K}^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{v}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~S}=$ theoretical calculation of the co-efficient of wind drag).

As the wind speed during this competition was $-0.20 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, we get (for our subject):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ew} & =0.8 \cdot(10.25+0.20)^{2} \cdot 10.25 \\
& =0.8 \cdot 109.2 \cdot 10.25=917 \mathrm{~J} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 5: Total mechanical energy expenditure in 100 m sprint

| NAME | RESULT <br> $[\mathrm{sec}]$ | Ea <br> $[\mathrm{J}]$ | En.a <br> $[\mathrm{J}]$ | Ek <br> $[\mathrm{J}]$ | Etot <br> $[\mathrm{J}]$ | Etot(w) <br> $[\mathrm{J}]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A.O. | 10.34 | 6472 | 31108 | 4968 | 42548 | 43465 |
| L.L. | 10.39 | 7393 | 32548 | 4876 | 44817 | 45809 |
| M.M. | 10.43 | 6613 | 32800 | 4469 | 43881 | 44754 |
| A.A. | 10.49 | 5429 | 29120 | 4320 | 38869 | 39683 |
| G.G. | 11.45 | 2741 | 22464 | 2705 | 28463 | 29016 |
| L.A. | 11.57 | 2312 | 19750 | 2375 | 24763 | 25269 |
| R.F. | 11.65 | 3914 | 26780 | 3381 | 34075 | 34697 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 3 Determination of metabolic energy expenditure

As already mentioned, blood samples were taken within 3 to 7 minutes after the competition, using an enzymatic method (Microzym L., SGI Toulouse, France). The methods of sampling, storage and analysis were validated by Geyssant et al. in 1985.

### 3.1 Estimation of energy expenditure during running

Estimation of metabolic energy production. This method of estimation was based on the same methods as those used to assess the energy cost of running 400 and 800 m (LAcour et al. 1990).
a) The kinetics of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization at the beginning of supramaximal exercise could be described as a mono-exponential function, with a time constant of 30 sec and an asymptote of $53 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{min}$. Following this hypothesis, the oxygen consumption over 100 m was calculated by Perronet and Thibault (1989).
b) The decrease in the muscle concentration of high energy phosphates during supramaximal exhausting exercise equalled $18 \mathrm{mmol} / / / \mathrm{kg}$ wet mass. Assuming that the muscle mass involved in sprinting was $25 \%$ of the body mass, this corresponded to the utilization of $16 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$.
c) Rest (la) ${ }_{b}$ equalled $1 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$.
d) $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ increase in lactate, corresponded to the energy produced by the utilization of $3.30 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$.
The energy conversions were made assuming that the consumption of one litre of oxygen is equivalent to the liberation of 20.9 kJ energy.

As an example, in the case of our subject Alessandro Orlandi, the total metabolic expenditure is determined from the sum of the following:

- glycolytic energy,
- phosphagens energy.
- kinetics of O2 utilization.

Glycolitic energy expenditure, arrived at from the lactate production after competition, was $16.02 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$. Since 1 mmol of $(\mathrm{la})_{\mathrm{b}}$ is equal to 3 mmol of $\mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ we have:
$(16.02-1) \cdot 3=15.02 \cdot 3=45.06 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ and, for the total,
$45.06 \cdot 77=3470 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 20.9=72523 \mathrm{~J}$.
Phosphagens energy: Assuming that the muscle mass involved in sprinting is $25 \%$ of the body mass, corresponding to the utiliza-
tion of $16 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$ (Hirvonen 1987), we have:
$16 \cdot 77=1232 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, which multiplied by $20.9 \mathrm{KJ}=25749 \mathrm{~J}$.

Kinetics of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilization: The equation proposed by Perronet et Thibault was adopted:

$$
\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{VO}_{2} \max \left[\mathrm{t}+1 / \mathrm{y} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{(-\mathrm{yt})}-1 / \mathrm{y}\right] .
$$

Assuming for our sprinters a value of $\mathrm{VO}_{2} \max$ of $53 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{O} / 2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{min}$, we get:
$E=(53 \cdot 77): 60\left(t+1 / 0.03 \cdot e^{(-y t)}-1 / 0.03\right)$
$\mathrm{E}=68\left(10.34+33.33 \cdot \mathrm{e}^{(-0.03 \cdot 10.34)}-33.33\right)$
$\mathrm{E}=68(10.34+(33.33 \cdot 0.733)-33.33)$
$\mathrm{E}=68(10.34+24.43-33.33)$
$\mathrm{E}=68 \cdot 1.44=97.92 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.
$\mathrm{E}=97.92 \cdot 20.9=2046 \mathrm{~J}$
Table 6: Total metabolic energy expenditure in the 100 m sprint

| Athlete | Glycolitic Phosphagens <br> (la) | Oxygen <br> (ATP + CP) | Total <br> (kinetic <br> utilisation) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $J$ | $J$ | $J$ | $J$ |
| Men |  |  |  |  |
| A Orlandi | 72523 | 25749 | 2063 | 100335 |
| A Amici | 59559 | 23408 | 1924 | 84891 |
| M Menchini | 68669 | 26752 | 2142 | 97563 |
| L Levorato | 69198 | 26418 | 2596 | 98212 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |
| G Gallina | 38570 | 17389 | 2015 | 57974 |
| L Ardissone | 35650 | 15884 | 1579 | 53113 |
| R Farina | 48489 | 21736 | 2184 | 72418 |
| L Galligani | 50772 | 18726 | 2297 | 71795 |

## 4 Discussion

The main purpose of the biomechanics of locomotion is to determine and analyse that part of the expenditure of energy which results in the production of mechanical work, as distinct from that resulting in the production of heat.
The efficiency ' $\eta$ ' is arrived at from the relation between the mechanical energy expenditure ' $w$ ' and the metabolic energy expenditure ' $C$ ': $\eta=w / C$
For our subject Orlandi it will be:
$\mathrm{n}=43.465: 100.335=0.433$ (i.e. $-43 \%$ ).
For the efficiency of all athletes see Table 7.

## 5 Conclusions

The results of this study suggest:
a) that anaerobic glycolysis contributes about $65-70 \%$ of the metabolic energy production during a 100 m race and that this quite new information should help

Table 7: Total Efficiency for all athletes ( $\eta=w / C$ )

| Athlete | (C) <br> $[J]$ | $(w)$ <br> $[J]$ | Efficiency <br> $(\eta=w / C)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Men |  |  |  |
| A Orlandi | 100318 | 43465 | 0.433 |
| A Amici | 84891 | 39683 | 0.467 |
| M Menchini | 97563 | 44754 | 0.459 |
| L Levorato | 98212 | 45809 | 0.466 |
| Women |  |  |  |
| G Gallina | 57974 | 29016 | 0.500 |
| L Ardissone | 53113 | 25268 | 0.476 |
| R Farina | 72418 | 34697 | 0.479 |
| L Galligani | 71795 | 27921 | 0.389 |

coaches to improve the planning of a sprinter's training programme and
b) that the efficiency $(\eta)$ shows that the majority of athletes (7) had values that are in line with the scientific studies published by Margaria, Cavagna and Di Prampero, who predicted, for sprinters running at a speed of $10 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, a $45-50 \%$ efficiency of running. The only athlete to show a lower value was L.G. (39\%).
Our measurements confirm the coach's explanation of her poor results as due to insufficient specific training on speed endurance (note her speed for last 40 m and that her best 200 m performance is 24.81 sec ).
Other studies have shown that, in a 100 m race, slight variations in (la)b production (e.g. from 14.5 to $16 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$ ) may not necessarily affect performance (HaUtier et al. 1994); our study seems to confirm this. However, the slight variability in our study may be due to the limited number of subjects measured. All these observations, in my opinion, should need further investigation, to confirm this tendency.

## 6

## Explanations

## Mechanical energy expenditure (w)

This was measured following the Di Prampero equation (1986).

## Metabolic kinetics (C)

These measurements give a good estimation of energy expenditure during running. The total metabolic expenditure is the sum of:

- Glycolitic energy (la) $)_{b}$ utilisation.
- Phosphagens energy: ATP + PC (HIRVONEN et al. 1987).
- Kinetics of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ utilisation: (Perronet and Thibaut 1989).


## Anaerobic lactic training

This is the classic training method to develop speed endurance. Practically it implies types of training consisting of repetition runs at submaximal speed. The distances recommended are 60 to 300 m (circa 6 to 35 sec ), with a relatively short rest, between the runs and sets, depending on the duration of the runs (intensity 85 to $95 \%$ ). The lactate production, after a set of 80 m runs or a single 300 m run at high intensity, will be more than $15 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$.

## Anaerobic alactic training

This alactic capacity is concerned with muscle power. In practice, it consists of sprints or drills at maximum, or near maximum (over $95 \%$ ), intensity and with a duration of from 0.3 to 2 sec and a short rest interval ( $2-3 \mathrm{~min}$ ). Lactate production must remain below $6 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$. In any case, it appears that a single maximum contraction or a single movement will induce a production of blood lactate that is impossible to measure because the glycolytic part of the energy production in this type of exercise is probably too low.

## Mixed alactic and lactic anaerobic training

This occupies a large part of sprint training programmes. In practice, it includes runs of 30 to 80 m (circa 3 to 10 sec ) at submaximum speed (about $85-90 \%$ ). Lactate production after a set of runs should be between 6 and $15 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{l}$. (It seems that, in this type of training, lactic acid is not a limiting factor.)

## Efficiency ( $h$ )

The different anthropometric details and running techniques of the athletes determine the individual energy cost during performances. Our study made it possible to determine each individual's theoretical total energy expenditure when running the 100 m . Athletes with higher energy costs must compensate by achieving a higher production of metabolic energy. In my opinion, in our practical work as coaches, the efficiency index is a very important tool for evaluating the quality of an athlete.
In conclusion, we can say that, in coaching the sprints, it is essential to emphasize running technique with young athletes, in order to build a good base upon which to place the specific training which will eventually lead to top level results.
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